1	CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS Mark Potter, Esq., SBN 166317 Phyl Grace, Esq., SBN 171771 Dennis Price, SBN 279082	
2		
3	Mail: PO Box 262490	
4	San Diego, CA 92196-2490 Delivery: 9845 Erma Road, Suite 300	
5	San Diego, CA 92131 (858) 375-7385; (888) 422-5191 fax	
6	phylg@potterhandy.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	Chris Langer,	Case No. 14CV2106 JAH KSC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	Complaint For Damages And Injunctive Relief For Violations Of: American's With Disabilities
14		Act; Unruh Civil Rights Act; California Disabled Persons Act;
15 16	George F. Cannon; Leticia Cannon; Casa Reveles, Inc., a California Corporation; and Does 1-10,	Negligence
17		
18	Defendants.	
19	Plaintiff Chris Langer complain	s of Defendants George F. Cannon:
20	Plaintiff Chris Langer complains of Defendants George F. Cannon; Leticia Cannon; Casa Reveles, Inc., a California Corporation; and Does 1-10	
21	("Defendants") and alleges as follows:	
22	( Defendants ) and aneges as follows.	
23	PARTIES:	
24		t with physical disabilities. He is a
25	paraplegic who cannot walk and who u	• •
26	a specially equipped van with a ramp that deploys out of the passenger side	
27	of his van and he has a Disabled Person Parking Placard issued to him by the	
28	State of California.	1 1 mining 1 mound 155 and to mini by the
	State of California.	

("Restaurant") in November 2013 and currently does.

2. Defendant Casa Reveles, Inc. owned and operated the Casa Reveles

3. Defendants George F. Cannon and Leticia Cannon owned the property

4. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants, their business

capacities, their ownership connection to the property and business, or their

relative responsibilities in causing the access violations herein complained

of, and alleges a joint venture and common enterprise by all such

Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants

herein, including Does 1 through 10, inclusive, is responsible in some

capacity for the events herein alleged, or is a necessary party for obtaining

appropriate relief. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend when the true names,

capacities, connections, and responsibilities of the Defendants and Does 1

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to

6. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, an attendant and related cause of

action, arising from the same nucleus of operative facts and arising out of

the same transactions, is also brought under California's Unruh Civil Rights

28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343(a)(3) & (a)(4) for violations of the Americans

with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.

located at or about 724 Main Street, Ramona, California, in November 2013

restaurant located at or about 724 Main Street, Ramona, California

- 1
  2
  3
- 456

and currently do.

8

7

- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16

17

18

19

**JURISDICTION & VENUE:** 

through 10, inclusive, are ascertained.

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25
- 2627
- 28
- Act, and the California Disabled Persons Act, which acts expressly incorporate the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 7. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and is founded on the fact that the real property which is the subject of this action

is located in this district and that Plaintiff's cause of action arose in this district.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:**

- 8. The Plaintiff went to the Restaurant in November of 2013, to eat.
- 9. The Restaurant is a facility open to the public, a place of public accommodation, and a business establishment.
- 10. Parking spaces are one of the facilities, privileges and advantages offered by defendants to their customers at the Restaurant.
  - 11. Unfortunately, the parking lot is not accessible to wheelchair users.
- 12. Although parking is provided to patrons, there is not a single functioning and compliant handicap parking space. Whether through neglect, apathy or otherwise, the defendants have permitted the handicap parking spaces and signage to either deteriorate to the point of being non-functioning or to be maintained incorrectly. Defendants have no policy in place to maintain their parking lot, such that accessible handicap parking spaces do not fade beyond recognition.
- 13. The plaintiff personally encountered this problem. This inaccessible condition denied the plaintiff full and equal access and caused him difficulty.
- 14. The defendants have failed to maintain in working and useable condition those features required to provide ready access to persons with disabilities.
- 15. Given the obvious and blatant violation, the plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are other violations and barriers on the site that relate to his disability. Plaintiff will amend the complaint, to provide proper notice regarding the scope of this lawsuit, once he conducts a site inspection. However, please be on notice that the plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. See Doran v. 7-11, 506 F.3d 1191

1 1

1 1

## 

(9th Cir. 2007) (holding that once a plaintiff encounters one barrier at a site, he can sue to have all barriers that relate to his disability removed regardless of whether he personally encountered them).

16. Additionally, on information and belief, the plaintiff alleges that the failure to remove these barriers was intentional because: (1) these particular barriers are intuitive and obvious; (2) the defendants exercised control and dominion over the conditions at this location and, therefore, the lack of accessible facilities was not an "accident" because had the defendants intended any other configuration, they had the means and ability to make the change.

I. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 (On behalf of plaintiffs and against all defendants (42 U.S.C. section 12101, et seq.)

- 17. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 18. Under the ADA, it is an act of discrimination to fail to ensure that the privileges, advantages, accommodations, facilities, goods and services of any place of public accommodation is offered on a full and equal basis by anyone who owns, leases, or operates a place of public accommodation. See 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Discrimination is defined, inter alia, as follows:
  - a. A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the accommodation would work a fundamental alteration of those services and facilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

22

23

24

25

26

27

- b. A failure to remove architectural barriers where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). Barriers are defined by reference to the ADAAG, found at 28 C.F.R., Part 36, Appendix "D."
- c. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).
- 19. To qualify as a reserved handicap parking space, the space must be properly marked and designated. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191, § 502.3.3. Under the California Building Code, to properly and effectively reserve a parking space for persons with disabilities, each such space must be identified with a reflectorized sign permanently posted adjacent to and visible from each stall or space. CBC § 1129B.4. The sign must consist of the International Symbol of Accessibility in white on a blue background. Id. It cannot be smaller than 70 square inches and must be mounted so that there is a minimum of 80 inches from the bottom of the sign to the parking space. Id. Signs must be posted so that they cannot be obscured by a vehicle parking in the space. Id. An additional sign or additional language below the symbol of accessibility must state, "Minimum Fine \$250" to ensure that the space remains available for persons with disabilities. Id. Another sign must be posted in a conspicuous place at the entrance to the parking lot or immediately adjacent to each handicap parking

space, with lettering 1 inch in height, that clearly and conspicuously warn that unauthorized vehicles parking in the handicap parking spaces can be towed at the owner's expense. Id. Additionally, the surface of the handicap parking stall must have a profile view of a wheelchair occupant that is 36 inches by 36 inches. Id. And the surface of the access aisle must have a blue border. CBC § 1129B.3. The words "NO PARKING" in letters at least a foot high must be painted on the access aisle. Id.

- 20. In our case, the defendants did not have any of the necessary markings, other than a faint blue outline where it looks as though an accessible parking space once existed. Defendants, in fact, have no policy whatsoever to make sure that the parking spaces reserved for disabled use do not fade or otherwise become unusable.
- 21. A public accommodation must maintain in operable working condition those features of its facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities. 28 C.F.R. § 36.211(a).
- 22. Here, the failure to ensure that the accessible facilities were available and ready to be used by the plaintiff is a violation of the law.

- II. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (On behalf of plaintiffs and against all defendants) (Cal Civ § 51-53)
- 23. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 24. Because the defendants violated the plaintiffs' rights under the ADA, they also violated the Unruh Civil Rights Act and are liable for damages. (Civ. Code § 51(f), 52(a).)

1	25. Because the violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act resulted in		
2	difficulty, discomfort or embarrassment for the plaintiffs, the defendants are		
3	also each responsible for statutory damages, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code §		
4	55.56(a)-(c).)		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	III. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE		
10	CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT (On behalf of plaintiffs and		
11	against all defendants) (Cal Civ.§ 54-54.8)		
12	26. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth		
13	again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this		
14	complaint.		
15	27. Because the defendants violated the plaintiff's rights under the ADA,		
16	they also violated the Disabled Persons Act and are liable for damages. (Civ.		
17	Code § 54.1(d), 54.3(a).)		
18	28. Because the violation of the Disabled Persons Act resulted in		
19	difficulty, discomfort or embarrassment for the plaintiffs, the defendants are		
20	also each responsible for statutory damages, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code §		
21	55.56(a)-(c).)		
22			
23	IV. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE (On behalf of		
24	plaintiff and against all defendants)		

- ON: **VIOLATION** THE OF S ACT (On behalf of plaintiffs and 8)
- es by reference, as if fully set forth ed in all prior paragraphs of this
- the plaintiff's rights under the ADA, Act and are liable for damages. (Civ.
- Disabled Persons Act resulted in for the plaintiffs, the defendants are ges, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code §
- N: NEGLIGENCE (On behalf of plaintiff and against all defendants)
- 29. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
  - 30. The Defendants had a general duty and a duty arising under the

25

26

27

1 Americans with Disabilities Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act and 2 California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe, convenient, and accessible 3 facilities to the plaintiffs. Their breach of this duty, as alleged in the 4 preceding paragraphs, has caused injury and damage as alleged above. 5 6 7 8 9 **PRAYER**: 10 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that this court award damages and provide 11 relief as follows: 1. For injunctive relief, compelling defendants to comply with the 12 13 Americans with Disabilities Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act. Note: the Plaintiffs are not invoking section 55 of the California Civil Code and is not 14 15 seeking injunctive relief under the Disabled Persons Act at all. 16 2. Damages under the Unruh Civil Rights Act and/or the California 17 Disabled Persons Act which damages provide for actual damages and a 18 statutory minimum of \$4,000. Note: a plaintiff cannot recover under both 19 acts, simultaneously, and an election will be made prior to or at trial. 20 3. Reasonable attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit, 21 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; Cal. Civ. Code §§ 52 and 54.3. 22 Dated: January 27, 2014 CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS 23 24 By: 25 Mark Potter, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff 26

27